

# Just Growth Work Group

04.20.2017

**INVESTING *in* PLACE**

# Agenda

**INVESTING *in* PLACE**

1. **Introductions - 10 mins**
  2. **How we are using Feedback - 10 mins**
  3. **Measure M Guidelines - 60 mins**
  4. **Next Steps - 10 mins**
-

# How we are using feedback from previous meetings

1. **Defining Transportation Equity**
  2. **Metro and Land Use/Housing**
  3. **Community Engagement**
  4. **Frequent, Reliable, affordable transportation options**
  5. **Safety**
-

# What is at stake?

1. Highway program continues business as usual
2. Lack authentic engagement
3. Addressing needs of historically underserved communities
4. Regional Planning - Subregional Planning - local needs and priorities

We have to continue organizing and create a cohesive narrative.

**INVESTING *in* PLACE**

# Key Issues

1. Project Readiness vs. Project Quality
2. Accountability and Effectiveness of Multiyear Subregional Programs
3. Limitation to Capital Projects Stifles Innovative Programs
4. Outdated Objectives for Subregional Highway Programs
5. Funding for Countywide Active Transportation Programs
6. Bus Rapid Transit Program Development
7. Undefined Subregional Equity Programs
8. Potential Transit Service Expansion
9. Paratransit and Student/Senior Discount Guidelines Developed w/o Input
10. Local Return Expands Eligibility for Innovative Uses
11. Local Return Floor Transfers Resources from Low-Income to High-Income Communities

# Measure M Guidelines Framework

Metro	Projects	Countywide Programs
Subregional	<p>Subregional Projects</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>● What<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Objectives</li><li>○ Eligibility</li></ul></li><li>● Who<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Who are the decision makers?</li></ul></li><li>● How</li></ul>	
Cities	Local Return	

# Project Readiness vs. Project Quality

- **Not aligned with:**
  - Regional Goals
  - Current policies
- **Based heavily on Mobility Matrices**

**INVESTING *in* PLACE**

1

# Accountability/Effectiveness - MultiYear Subregional Programs

- **No Clear Defined Role**
  - Metro
  - COG
  - Communities
- **Performance objectives**
  - Oversight
  - Compliance
  - Accountability

**INVESTING *in* PLACE**

2



# Limitation to Capital Projects

- **Restrictive**
- **Planning**
  - Identify needs
  - Cost Effectiveness
  - Data collection
- **Non-Infrastructure**

# Outdated Objectives for Subregional Highway Programs

- **Safety for all users should be first objective**
  - “Safety improvements that reduce incident delay”
- **“Improve traffic flow” vs “trip reliability”**

**INVESTING *in* PLACE**

4

# Funding for Countywide Active Transportation Programs

- **Supports the Active Transportation Strategic Plan**
  - Includes the LA River
- **2% TBD**
  - Too focused on projects - carve out space for programs as well
- **Behooves us to keep this flexible for now**
  - Program Guidelines within 1 year
  - Want to coordinate with **future equity policy**

**INVESTING *in* PLACE**

5

# Bus Rapid Transit Program Development

- **Relies heavily on the Mobility Matrices**
  - Corridors identified
- **Lacks Countywide Needs Assessment**
  - Want to coordinate with **future equity policy**

# Potential Transit Service Expansion

- **Much to be determined here still**
- **No concrete plan**
  - Want to coordinate with **future equity policy**

**INVESTING *in* PLACE**

7

# Undefined Subregional Equity Programs

- Slush fund with no objectives, or defined eligibility
- First come, first served
- Area to definitely set the parameters
- For example - Gateways COG Equity Fund: \$244 million !!

# Paratransit and Student/Senior Discount Guidelines

- **Measure M Funding - 2%**
- **Up to 3/ 4 for ADA paratransit service**
- **Remaining funding for revised fare discount program**
- **No stakeholder input**

# Local Return - Eligibility

- **Continues eligibility from Measure R**
  - Local transit
  - Sidewalks, crosswalks
  - Bicycle lanes
  - Street repair
  - Local match for grants
- **Two new provisions**
  - Stormwater projects (green streets)
  - Transit Oriented Communities (this is really vague)



# Local Return Floor Transfers Resources from Low-Income to High Income Communities

- **8 cities would benefit from increasing the floor to \$100,000**
  - Industrial tax havens
  - Exclusive suburbs
- **Not our definition of equity**

# Social Equity PAC - Key Recommendations

- **Equity Policy before we shape:**
  - Countywide Active Transportation Program
  - Bus Rapid Transit
- **Highway Funds**
  - Safety First
  - Complete Streets
  - Eligibility for planning and non-infrastructure
- **Local Return - Reverse Robin Hood**
- **Community Participation**
- **Clarity of roles and more (lots more!) in subregional programs**

# Written Feedback

**INVESTING *in* PLACE**

---

# Important Dates

**INVESTING *in* PLACE**

## May

**2** - Metro PAC Meeting, 10am

**17** - Metro Planning and Programming Committee, 2pm

**18** - Just Growth Meeting, La Plaza de Cultura y Artes, 2:30pm

**19** - Los Angeles County Policymakers Breakfast (invite only for electeds and key staff)

**26** - Measure M Guidelines Comments due