FROMSCRAMBLE TOSTRATEGY

HOW A CAPITAL INFRASTRUCTURE PLAN CAN WORK FOR THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES

March 6, 2025



WHO WE ARE:



An independent voice for LA's sidewalks and streets.

Leveraging public space to improve quality of life.

MISSION:

HOW WE DO IT:

Conducting original research Forming partnerships **Amplifying real-life stories Embracing best practices**

LA'S INFRASTRUCTURE **CHALLENGES WITHOUT A CIP**

- Conflicting Priorities: 15 council offices, the mayor, and agencies operate without alignment.
- No Clear Policy Goals: Infrastructure investments lack strategic direction.
- Siloed Decision-Making: No unified plan to coordinate efforts and resources.
- Inefficient Budget Process: A one-year cycle leads to constant, reactive decision-making.
- Missed Opportunities: Without a CIP, LA is less competitive for funding and investment.

WHAT IS A CIP?

A long-term, budgeted direction for investment and maintenance in public infrastructure.

L Sets a Vision

A long-term approach to investing in city-owned infrastructure, including streets, sidewalks, parks, and other public assets.

2

Gets Specific

Lists specific projects: what, where, and when. Addresses maintenance.



Identifies Funding

Ties projects to funding sources and timelines. IDs unfunded needs.



Research - Benchmarking

Cities have a capital expenditure plan that includes major infrastructure projects

| City | Capital Plan/Budget? | City |
|---------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| Austin | Yes | Los Angeles |
| Boston | Yes | San Diego |
| Charlotte | Yes | San Jose |
| Chicago | Yes | San Francisco |
| Columbus | Yes | Fresno |
| Dallas | Yes | Sacramento |
| Denver | Yes | Long Beach |
| Detroit | Yes | Oakland |
| El Paso | Yes | Bakersfield |
| Fort Worth | Yes | Anaheim |
| Houston | Yes | Ton 10 Ciling |
| Indianapolis | Yes | Top 10 Cities i |
| Jacksonville | Yes | City |
| Los Angeles | No | Los Angeles |
| Memphis | Yes | Long Beach |
| Nashville | Yes | Glendale |
| New York | Yes | Santa Clarita |
| Philadelphia | Yes | Lancaster |
| Phoenix | Yes | Palmdale |
| San Antonio | Yes | Pomona |
| San Diego | Yes | Torrance |
| San Francisco | Yes | Pasadena |
| San Jose | Yes | El Monte |
| Seattle | Yes | |
| Washington DC | Yes | Orange Cour |

2017 Report: Evaluation of the State of Street-Related Infrastructure



5

Section

CTIEP LIMITATIONS VS CIP CAPITAL AND TECHNOLOGY EXPENDITURE PLAN

- Forecast, Not a Plan: CTIEP makes funding assumptions without confirming them with departments or committing resources.
- No Citywide Coordination: Departments submit separate reports with inconsistent information, leading to fragmented decisionmaking.
- Staffing Challenges: Without a CIP, LA cannot align staffing with long-term infrastructure goals, leaving departments understaffed, especially for grant-funded projects.
- CIP as a Solution: A true capital plan aligns investments, policy priorities, and staffing resources, improving efficiency and long-term sustainability.

WHAT DO OTHER CITIES DO? WE STUDIED 35+ U.S. CITIES

- How are plans developed, and who leads them?
- Is there a comprehensive asset inventory?
- How is equity included in project prioritization?
- Is the planning process accessible to the public?

EFFECTIVE CIPS

- Articulate a Clear Vision: Define measurable goals for the public right-of-way.
- Engage & Coordinate Internally: Align departments to streamline decision-making.
- Elevate Community Input: Establish clear roles for public involvement.
- Use Clear Prioritization Metrics: Ensure transparent project selection.
- Know the Costs: Assess asset conditions, improvement costs, and funding.



EFFECTIVE CIPS Maintain an Updated Asset Inventory: Track infrastructure

- conditions and needs.
- Plan for Unfunded Needs: Identify critical projects lacking resources.
- Address Equity: Ensure safe, accessible public spaces citywide.
- Provide State of Good Repair: Maintain existing infrastructure.
- Support Resilience & Preparedness: Plan for climate impacts and emergencies.
- Strengthen Project Management: Build capacity for effective delivery.

INVESTMENT + PROJECT DELIVERY: LONG BEACH

- Sustained Local Funding: City Sales Tax for Infrastructure Prop A (2016; no sunset approved in 2020). Measure A Infrastructure Investment Plan.
- ADA Upgrades: 2019 ADA Transition Plan. Investments in bus stops and ADA ramps-addressing sidewalk lawsuit issues.
- Transparency & Accountability: Elevate 28 (5 year CIP) platform displays projects, funding sources, and council district breakdowns.
 - The paving program is guided by the City's CIP

INVESTMENT + PROJECT DELIVERY: LONG BEACH

General Capital Projects Fund Group expenditures

From FY17 to FY24 over 250% increase

Source: City ACFR's; FY 24 unaudited, but unlikely to change

INVESTING in PLACE

11

INTEGRATED CAPITAL & **RESILIENCE PLANNING: ONE SF**

- In 2005, Mayor Gavin Newsom and the Board of Supervisors mandated an annual 10-year capital expenditure plan for Cityowned infrastructure, establishing the Capital Planning **Committee (CPC) and Capital Planning Program.**
- The City Administrator chairs CPC and includes key city leaders. • Office of Resilience & Capital Planning: Created in 2016 by merging
- **Capital Planning & Resilience offices.**
- Transparency & Oversight:
 - Monthly committee meetings with publicly posted materials.
 - Community input role remains unclear.



KEY PROCESSES & PLANS: ONE SF

- Includes Housing, Economic Development, Health, Streets, Public Safety, Recreation, Transportation, etc. Maintenance & Repair Funding: Separate capital budget
- Four Key Plans:
 - IO-year CIP
 - 2-year State of Good Repair plan
 - 5-year Financial Plan (projects based on future revenue)
 - ESER G.O. Bond Program (seismic improvements)

OFFICAL ROLE FOR COMMUNITY: MINNEAPOLIS

- 6-Year CIP: Updated annually
- Developed with input from citizen advisory committees & city departments
- 2024: CLIC reviewed 133 projects, recommending \$743M from \$935M in requests
- CLIC raised concerns over infrastructure maintenance & requested analysis on increasing bond funding without raising property taxes

 Separate CIP dedicated to park facilities and amenities. CLIC = 33 member Capital Long-INVESTING in PLACE Range Improvement Committee

PRIORITIZATION: OAKLAND

- A biennial (two-year) budget shaping long-term asset management.
- Directs investments in libraries, public safety, parks, transportation, and utilities.
- Result of Measure KK (voter-approved bond funding for transportation & infrastructure).

PRIORITIZATION: OAKLAND Equity is the top priority in Oakland's CIP project

- selection.
- The CIP Working Group (CIPWG) developed nine prioritization factors, with equity as the core lens.
- The Department of Race and Equity (DRE) ensures alignment with citywide racial equity goals.
- Street paving prioritization based on equity metrics.

BURBANK PASADENA SANTA MONICA **SAN DIEGO** FRESNO SACRAMENTO SEATTLE VANCOUVER **SAN ANTONIO** HOUSTON BOSTON

INVESTING in **PLACE**



17

NEEDED: CONSENSUS ON A MEASURABLE VISION

- A shared vision from the Mayor and City council ensures resources are aligned, staff have clear direction and priorities stay on track.
- Goals must be measurable. Infrastructure needs clear targets to track progress and impact.
- Consensus unlocks action. Without it, projects stall, and funding is fragmented.
- Effective CIPs turn vision into reality—policymakers need to set the direction and commit to making it happen.

FROM VISION TO IMPLEMENTATION **USING WHAT WE KNOW**

- Community engagement is ongoing, but LA doesn't need years of pre-CIP outreach—many existing plans already reflect public priorities.
- Extensive new meetings can lead to planning fatigue, especially when basic services remain unmet.
- Angelenos have invested time in past planning and community efforts -the focus should now be using that input for action and implementation.
- Solution: Use past input, ensure transparency, and engage communities in decision-making and accountability, not just more planning.

JESSICA MEANEY 213-210-8136 jessica@investinginplace.org